

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,814	04/02/2004	Chan Ching	BHT-3123-121	9337
7590 07/15/2005 TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC 5205 LEESPLING DIVE SUITE 1404			EXAMINER	
			GILMAN, ALEXANDER	
5205 LEESBURG PIKE, SUITE 1404 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AL				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,814	CHING, CHAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander D. Gilman	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	DIVIO OET TO EVOIDE AM	ONTHIO FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r . I reply within the statutory minimum of thirt riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 May 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
·						
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 3-7 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>3-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu	, ,,	ransivad				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	not of the certified copies not	ICCCIVEU.				
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 3,5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Broussard.

With regard to claims 3, 5, 6 Broussard (US 6,165,013) discloses a safety plug comprising: a heat-resistant and hardness object (22) ,

b) two pins, ((32, 40) each of the two pins having a first end, a second end, and a middle portion, the heat-resistant and hardness object encasing the middle portion of each of the two pins, the first end and the second end protruding outwardly from opposing sides of the heat-resistant and hardness object (col. 3, lines38-40_',

an electrical cord (44) connected to each second end and spaced apart from the heat-resistant and hardness object', and

an outer body (14) covering an exterior surface of the heat-resistant and hardness object, the second end of each of the two pins, and a predetermined portion of the electrical cord,

wherein the outer body includes a flexible tailing wing (col. 3, line 3) spaced apart from the heat-resistant and hardness object.

With regard to claim 7. Broussard discloses that the outer body is a PVC material(col. 3, line 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2833

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Broussard in view of Boehm et al.

Broussard does not disclose that the hardness-resistant object made of PBT Boehm et al disclose that the hardness-resistant object made of PBT.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the hardness-resistant object made of PBT, as taught by Boehm et al, to improve the thermoresistance of the plug.

Also, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to former claims 1, 2, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Broussard teaches (col. 4, lines 15-21) many modifications. Also, as it is shown in the rejection, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date

Application/Control Number: 10/815,814

Art Unit: 2833

of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should. be directed to Alexander D. Gilman whose telephone number is 571 272-2004. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 a.m. - 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on 571 272-2800 ext. 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

07/11/2005

Page 4